

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JUNE 1998

10

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.PATRI BASAVANA GOUD

WRIT PETITION NUMBER 23158 OF 1990

Between:

M/s Nataraj Theatre  
Railway Station Road  
Gauribidnur 560 208  
by its partner  
Smt. G. Sarvamangala

-Petitioner

(By Sri B. C. Prabhakar, Advocate)

And:

1. Union of India  
by its Secretary  
Ministry of Labour  
Shram Shakti Bhavan  
New Delhi 110 001

2. The Employment Officer  
Employees Provident Fund,  
Camp, Gauribidanur

-Respondents

(By Sri Harikrishna S. Holla,  
CGSC for the respondents)

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to declare the Notification dated 30-4-1986 at Annexure-A in No.S.35016/1/86-55 II and to quash the Letter dated 3-9-1990 at Annexure-B in No.KN/EO/VSP/1990.

This writ petition coming on for hearing this day, the Court made the following:

O R D E R

296

ORDER

The Notification at Annexure-A issued under Section 5 read with Section 7(1) of the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 ('Act' for short) extending the Act and the Scheme to Cinema theatres employing five or more workers as specified in Section 24 of the Cine Workers and Cinema Theatres Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981, is challenged by the petitioner M/s Nataraj Theatre in this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution.

2. Validity of the said Notification is upheld with certain modifications in **THE DISTRICT EXHIBITORS ASSOCIATION, MUZAFFARNAGAR v. UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS**, AIR 1991 SC 1381.

3. The matter thus being covered by a decision of the Supreme Court, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of said decision.

4. Sri Harikrishna Holla, learned CGSC is permitted to file a memo of appearance for the second respondent.

Sd/-  
JUDGE

